

An Announcement

By Bill Walker

July 19, 2011.

I have learned this day that a citizen of the United States today filed a formal, official criminal complaint with the FBI against members of Congress for criminal violation of oath of office regarding failure to call an Article V Convention. This citizen is not myself but someone who has, for several years, studied the material at FOAVC, particularly the federal lawsuits previously filed by me in 2000 and again in 2006. Based on that information, coupled with his own extensive research, he has taken the next faithful step of demanding the Constitution be obeyed and a convention call as required by that sacred document.

While I am not at liberty at this time to give details regarding the name and other identifying information of this citizen, it is clear this is the endgame for the question regarding an Article V Convention. Simply put, either the United States government will determine members of Congress are bound by their oath to obey the provisions of the United States Constitution, which in this case involves calling an Article V Convention when the states apply, or it will determine they are not bound to obey provisions of the Constitution. If they are so bound, it will bring criminal charges against members of Congress who have violated their oaths of office for failure to call a convention. If they are not so bound, the government will decline action against them.

For over 30 years the John Birch Society and their allies have preached fear and lies about an Article V Convention. The clear intent and thrust of this effort was to ensure that despite state actions of overwhelming description to the contrary, this nation would never obey its own Constitution and cause Congress to call a convention. Despite warnings that such unsupported propaganda would ultimately undermine the entire Constitution, the opposition has continued unabated.

Now the consequences of such action will unfold. With a formal criminal complaint before it, the federal government has no choice in the matter. It must decide officially, formally and irrevocably whether or not the Constitution must be obeyed by the government. For as long as this nation has existed, scholars, historians, political figures and even the JBS themselves has stated that if the states apply Congress must call the convention. This statement is based on the assumption and presumption that the Constitution must be obeyed and lies at the core of our fundamental belief as citizens as to the authority of the United States Constitution—that first and foremost it must be obeyed.

Now, this belief, this assumption, this presumption is put to the test. Does Congress, in fact, have to obey the Constitution? The JBS has urged the government not obey the Constitution. The Founders, the courts, the states and public record disagree. Now the government will decide which position is the correct one.

The consequences of this decision are historic. Either Congress will be compelled to call a convention or the government will determine it is no longer bound to obey the Constitution and its provisions. The effect of the former will mean a new form of our government will exist in this country, one in which by its very existence, means a limitation upon the federal government and the opportunity for the people to rectify those national issues which the national government has been unwilling or unable to address. It is true this new form has existed all along within our Constitution but now, for the first time in United States history, the people will have a new governing tool, one which until this event, they were denied. The effect of the latter will be to eliminate the Constitution as an effective ruling document of this nation. Once the government determines it is not bound to obey the Constitution, that the oaths of office taken by government officials as to loyalty and obedience to the Constitution are meaningless and without substance, the Constitution will become defacto void.

To those who have urged the overthrow of the Constitution as an effective, viable legal document for this nation by urging the Constitution not be obeyed by the government, I simply say, be careful what you wish for—you may get it.